

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	www.uspus.go		
To nars o util	TOP TOP	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/852,408 05/09/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Carlos Schuler	015225-005910US	5388
21968 7590 08/23/2002 INHALE THERAPEUTIC SYSTEMS, INC 150 INDUSTRIAL ROAD SAN CARLOS, CA 94070		EXAMINER PATEL, NIHIR B PAPER NUMBER	
SAN CIRCLOS, 5		3743	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>so</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
		SCHULER ET AL.	
	09/852,408	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Nihir Pater	t with the correspondence ad	dress
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the core.		
eriod for Reply	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	1 MONTH(S) FROM	
The MAILING DATE eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	eply within the statutory minimum	of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ly. communication.
tatus 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final.		uito is
			the ments is
closed in accordance with	der Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 210.	
Disposition of Claims	ition.		
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is,a.e.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	d/or election requireme	nt.	
8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and	ujoi oleenii .		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.	5(0)
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held	I in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8	o(a).
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approve	d b) disapproved by the Ex	anmer.
11) The proposed drawing correction med strength approved, corrected drawings are required to by	ed in reply to this Office ac	tion.	
I amounted drawings are require			
If approved, corrected drawings 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by		_	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	Toleign Press 7		
a) All b) Some * c) Notice of.	, to the book ref	eived.	
Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been rec	eived in Application No	_ ·
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments	nave been received in this N	ational Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of	ional Bureau (PCT Rule	2 17.2(a)).	
3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office action 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land.	for a list of the certified	copies not received.	visional application).
* See the attached document	domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. 9 115(c) (to 1)	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang	uage provisional applic	ation has been received.	21.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo			Paper No(s)
Attachment(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Informal Patent Appli	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Page 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 3	113-9407	Other:	Part of Paper No. 1
3) Information Disclosure States	Office Action Summary		Paπ of Paper No. 1

Application/Control Number: 09/852,408

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species

The first species or the embodiment of figure(s) 3 The second species or the embodiment of figure(s) 4 The third species or the embodiment of figure(s) 6

The fourth species or the embodiment of figure(s) 16A and 16B The fifth species or the embodiment of figures(s) 17A and 17B

sub-species

Figure(s) 3A and 3B

Figure(s) 5A and 5B

Figure(s) 7

Figure(s) 8

Figure(s) 9

Figure(s) 10

Figure(s) 11

Figure(s) 12

Figure(s) 13

Figure(s) 14

Figure(s) 15

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,408

Art Unit: 3743

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Guy V. Tucker on August 20, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

NP

August 20, 2002

H Bennett

ro2p3700